

# Score of Presentations

Name of Presenter \_\_\_\_\_

(Circle score for each box, if between scores then circle two surrounding numbers)

(0 = Poor, 1 = Needs Work, 2 = Marginal, 3 = OK, 4 = Good, 5 = Great)

Description	Score	Comments
Introduction Title, attention getter, credibility, clearly stated central idea, establishes interest and credibility, identifies purpose. Citations given for introductory material.	0 1 2 3 4 5	
Structure and Organization Well developed main points Persuasive supporting material Were the ideas ordered logically Provides depth in explaining implications Kept between 10 and 12 minutes.	0 1 2 3 4 5	
Ethical implications Clearly articulated scope of implications Clearly defined ethical dilemmas Clear connection to topic Gave a balanced presentation	0 1 2 3 4 5	
Conclusion How does ethics affect the future of this topic Restates central idea and leaves audience with a residual message	0 1 2 3 4 5	
Visual Aids Appropriate Text Size, Font, and Color General Visual Appeal Presentation of visual aids Citations given for images.	0 1 2 3 4 5	
Delivery Eye contact, movement, rate of speech, volume, enthusiasm, confidence, lack of verbal fillers,	0 1 2 3 4 5	
Audience adaptation Connection to audience – interest, knowledge Appropriate use of language, lack of jargon	0 1 2 3 4 5	
Overall presentation quality Include impression of thoroughness	0 1 2 3 4 5	